

Patient: _____ Date: _____

Insurer/Policy #: _____

Request for Coverage of Therapy for Adhesion Disease, or Recurring Bowel Obstructions

To Whom It May Concern,

This letter is my request to receive coverage for a conservative, effective and innovative physical therapy that has been shown to decrease adhesions, and to prevent bowel obstructions, saving you money, and saving me suffering. While unavailable in your provider network, this therapy could save my life, help me avoid another surgery, and save your company many thousands of dollars by preventing repeat hospitalization and surgery.

As noted in the attached Controlled (Phase Two) study from the *World Journal of Gastroenterology* (May, 2018), patients were 15 times more likely to have a repeat total bowel obstruction if they did not receive this therapy. Because the therapy costs a fraction of the hospitalization and surgery expense and has virtually no risks, it can save your company over \$100,000 per patient/obstruction. Results from this study showed a statistical delay or prevention of recurring small bowel obstruction (SBO) at a small fraction of the cost of hospitalization and surgery. This therapy saves your company and me the significant risks and costs associated with surgery – at a much lower cost.

This outpatient therapy costs \$7,500 which is a fraction of the cost of surgery.
SBO surgery costs \$50,000 - \$150,000 and requires hospitalization.
Adhesion surgery costs \$15,000 - \$50,000 and requires hospitalization.

I have a history of adhesive small bowel obstruction (ASBO) and continue to have post-surgical obstructions. Based on my symptoms and the medical literature, I will likely require more surgery unless I can do something to decrease my adhesions. Surgery is often cited as the primary cause of SBO; repeat surgery increases the risk of adhesion formation, increasing the probability of another ASBO and additional surgery²⁴ – thus, every surgery costs your company significant money.

Adhesions are a well-documented risk of surgery. An exhaustive study of five decades of surgery showed that 55% to 100% of patients develop adhesions after abdominal or pelvic surgery.¹⁶ Numerous journal studies cite surgery as a major cause of abdominal adhesions and *the primary cause of bowel obstruction*¹⁷, presumably due to adhesions that form after surgery.

Two of the largest such studies follow:

- A multi-decade literature review published in *Digestive Surgery* showed that more than 90% of patients develop adhesions after open abdominal surgery and 55% to 100% of women develop adhesions after pelvic surgery. The study noted that “small-bowel obstruction, infertility, chronic abdominal and pelvic pain, and difficult reoperative surgery are the most common consequences of peritoneal adhesions.”¹⁶
- Post-surgical adhesions were the focus of a large, ten-year retrospective study of 29,790 patients published in *Lancet*. This study reported that 35% of all open abdominal or pelvic surgery patients were readmitted to the hospital an average of 2.1 times for complications attributed to post-surgical adhesions, during the 10 years after their original surgery. Many follow-up surgeries (22%) occurred in the first year after

surgery, and “readmissions continued steadily throughout the 10-year period of the study.”¹⁸

Current medical guidelines and literature cite:

The WESE Working Group on ASBO updated the current position and guidance in the fall of 2013 as published in the *World Journal of Emergency Surgery*. This international panel of experts and physicians reported¹⁹ :

The etiology of adhesions is inflammation; adhesions can begin to form within hours of surgery.

- 60-70% of patients with peritoneal adhesions experience bowel obstructions
- Documented risk factors for ASBO include:
 - Prior ASBO
 - Prior laparotomy within 5 years
 - Multiple laparotomies
 - Penetrating abdominal surgery or injury
 - Surgery of the colon, rectum or gynecological organs
 - Omental resection
 - Peritonitis

Current Guidelines based upon level I and II evidence state:

- *Non-operative management of ASBO is the first option* due to complications inherent in patients with adhesive disease including increased risk of enterotomy
- Surgeons should only lyse/sever pathogenic adhesions
- There is no effective mechanical barrier for post-surgical adhesion formation.

The Clinical Adhesion Research and Evaluation (CARE) Group published a review in November 2010 of adhesion disease studies. The publication reported²⁰:

- 65-75% of small bowel obstruction are due to adhesive disease
- 85% likelihood for adhesion reformation or *de novo* generation when adhesiolysis was performed during surgery
- Adhesions were identified as the source of chronic pain in 30-50% of laparoscopies performed for pain
- There was a 20% rate of inadvertent enterotomy with each surgery

The most common abdominal surgery procedures to treat ASBO include bowel resection and adhesiolysis. Per the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services for 2010, the associated costs and related statistics for these two procedures are²¹:

- Bowel resection surgery (CCS:75)
 - Average cost \$114,175
 - Average hospital stay for treatment: 14.2 days
 - 18% hospital readmission rate within 30 days after surgery
 - 6.7% fatality rate
- Excision of intra-abdominal adhesions (CCS:90)
 - Average cost \$65,955
 - Average hospital stay for treatment: 8.4 days
 - 12.3% hospital readmission rate within 30 days after surgery
 - 2.3% fatality rate

Statistics on this adhesion removal therapy compared to surgical intervention are:

- This conservative manual physical therapy
 - Cost \$7,500 for 20 hours of treatment over 5 days; few patients require more.
 - Average hospital stay for treatment: 0 days
 - 2% hospital readmission rate within 30 days after surgery (estimate)
 - 0% fatality rate

Per WSES guidelines, I am requesting this adhesion removal therapy to avoid another surgery for my ASBO episodes. Data from some of the published studies on the effects of this therapy are highlighted below:

General studies on the therapy

- The therapy was found safe and effective, no adverse events, with statistically significant improvements in pain, gastrointestinal issues and quality of life.²⁶
- Early results show two-year “return to surgery” rates as:
3% rate after therapy vs. 30% expected rate after surgery²⁷

Individual case reports

- Total resolution of SBO and bowel stricture by independent radiology report in a 69 year-old man with significant surgical history that began with bowel obstruction surgery immediately after birth.²²
- 49 year-old woman with adhesive disease. The seventh adhesiolysis surgery was canceled after receiving this therapy with no further ASBO episodes or surgeries – now as of 5.5 years post follow-up. There was also a documented significant pain reduction post treatment.²² During a hernia repair surgery six years after therapy, her surgeon reported that the patient no longer had the abdominal adhesions he expected to see.
- Resolution of ASBO and improved quality of life in a 61 year-old female patient who initially presented at therapy on intravenous TPN nutrition and who was able to consume solid food after therapy.²³
- Resolution of ASBO symptoms, elimination of requirement for daily laxatives and documented reduction in pelvic adhesions via ultrasound in a pediatric patient with extensive pelvic trauma resulting from an MVA vs. pedestrian accident.²⁴

In short, ASBO is a life-threatening condition caused by adhesions that form as the body heals from surgery. I would like to avoid another SBO surgery that will cause me needless suffering, cause more adhesions to form, and cost you tens of thousands of dollars. Because I am having recurring SBO symptoms, I am concerned I will have to undergo one or more additional surgeries unless I have this therapy.

I respectfully request you cover the cost of this conservative adhesion removal therapy (Clear Passage Approach). In a recent Phase Two controlled study, this non-surgical therapy was shown statistically highly effective decreasing obstructions and the need for more surgery. Unlike surgery, this therapy does not require hospitalization or long recovery, has been shown to deform adhesions, and costs a fraction of surgery – with significantly fewer risks, and no fatalities. During therapy, the providers will also instruct me in methods to avoid future SBOs – and surgeries.

Thank you for your consideration.

Signature

References

1. Sucher, B. M. Ultrasonography-Guided Osteopathic Manipulative Treatment for a Patient With Thoracic Outlet Syndrome. *J Am Osteopat. Assoc* **111**, 543–547 (2011).
2. Sampson, S. *et al.* Management of Dupuytren contracture with ultrasound-guided lidocaine injection and needle aponeurotomy coupled with osteopathic manipulative treatment. *J Am Osteopat. Assoc* **111**, 113–6 (2011).
3. Boyles, R., Toy, P., Mellon, J., Hayes, M. & Hammer, B. Effectiveness of manual physical therapy in the treatment of cervical radiculopathy: a systematic review. *J. Man. Manip. Ther.* **19**, 135–42 (2011).
4. Bayliss, A. J., Klene, F. J., Gundeck, E. L. & Loghmani, M. T. Treatment of a patient with post-natal chronic calf pain utilizing instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization: a case study. *J. Man. Manip. Ther.* **19**, 127–34 (2011).
5. Şenbursa, G., Baltacı, G. & Atay, Ö. A. The effectiveness of manual therapy in supraspinatus tendinopathy. *Acta Orthop. Traumatol.* **45**, 162–7 (2011).
6. Senbursa, G., Baltacı, G. & Atay, A. Comparison of conservative treatment with and without manual physical therapy for patients with shoulder impingement syndrome: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* **15**, 915–21 (2007).
7. Gaspar, P. D. & Willis, F. B. Adhesive capsulitis and dynamic splinting: a controlled, cohort study. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **10**, 111 (2009).
8. Wurn, L. J. *et al.* Increasing orgasm and decreasing dyspareunia by a manual physical therapy technique. *MedGenMed* **6**, 47 (2004).
9. Wurn, B. F., Wurn, L. J., Patterson, K., King, C. R. & Scharf, E. S. Decreasing dyspareunia and dysmenorrhea in women with endometriosis via a manual physical therapy: Results from two independent studies. *J Endometr.* **3**, 188–196 (2011).
10. Rice, A. D., Patterson, K., Wurn, B. F., King, C. R. & Wurn, L. J. Update on “Decreasing dyspareunia and dysmenorrhea in women with endometriosis via a manual physical therapy: results from 2 independent studies.” *J Endometr* **6**, 161–162 (2014).
11. Wurn, B. F. *et al.* Treating female infertility and improving IVF pregnancy rates with a manual physical therapy technique. *MedGenMed* **6**, 51 (2004).
12. Wurn, B. F. *et al.* Treating fallopian tube occlusion with a manual pelvic physical therapy. *Altern Ther Heal. Med* **14**, 18–23 (2008).
13. Bove, G. M. & Chapelle, S. L. Visceral mobilization can lyse and prevent peritoneal adhesions in a rat model. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* **16**, 76–82 (2012).
14. Davidson, C. J. *et al.* Rat tendon morphologic and functional changes resulting from soft tissue mobilization. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **29**, 313–9 (1997).
15. Gehlsen, G. M., Ganion, L. R. & Helfst, R. Fibroblast responses to variation in soft tissue mobilization pressure. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **31**, 531–5 (1999).

16. Liakakos, T., Thomakos, N., Fine, P. M., Dervenis, C. & Young, R. L. Peritoneal adhesions: etiology, pathophysiology, and clinical significance. Recent advances in prevention and management. *Dig Surg* **18**, 206–273 (2001).
17. Menzies, D. & Ellis, H. Intestinal obstruction from adhesions--how big is the problem? *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* **72**, 60–3 (1990).
18. Ellis, H. *et al.* Adhesion-related hospital readmissions after abdominal and pelvic surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* **353**, 1476–80 (1999).
19. Di Saverio, S. *et al.* Bologna guidelines for diagnosis and management of adhesive small bowel obstruction (ASBO): 2013 update of the evidence-based guidelines from the world society of emergency surgery ASBO working group. *World J. Emerg. Surg.* **8**, 42 (2013).
20. Brüggmann, D. *et al.* Intra-abdominal adhesions: definition, origin, significance in surgical practice, and treatment options. *Dtsch. Arztebl. Int.* **107**, 769–75 (2010).
21. HCUPnet: A tool for identifying, tracking, and analyzing national hospital statistics. (2012). at <http://hcupnet.ahrq.gov/Hcupnet.jsp?Id=6D36343307A90AD9&Form=SelLAY&JS=Y&Action=>>Next>>&_LAY=Researcher>
22. Rice, A. *et al.* Manual Physical Therapy for Non-Surgical Treatment of Adhesion-Related Small Bowel Obstructions: Two Case Reports. *J Clin Med* **2**, 1–12 (2013).
23. Rice, A. D., Reed, E. D., Patterson, K., Wurn, B. F. & Wurn, L. J. Clearing bowel obstruction and decreasing pain in a terminally ill patient via manual physical therapy. *J. Palliat. Med.* **16**, 222–3 (2013).
24. Rice, A. D. *et al.* Decreasing Adhesions and Avoiding Further Surgery in a Pediatric Patient Involved in a Severe Pedestrian versus Motor Vehicle Accident. *Pediatr. Rep.* **6**, 5126 (2014).
25. Strik C, Stommel MWJ, Schipper LJ, van Goor H, Ten Broek RPG. Risk factors for future repeat abdominal surgery. *Langenbeck's Arch Surg / Dtsch Gesellschaft für Chir* [Internet] 2016 Sep 28 ;**401**:829–37 [PMID: 27074725 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-016-1414-3]
26. Rice, A.D. *et al.* Treating Small Bowel Obstruction with a Manual Physical Therapy: A Controlled Prospective Efficacy Study *BioMed Rsch Intl*; Volume 2016 (2016), Article ID 7610387 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/7610387>
27. Rice, AD, King, CR Decreasing Post-Surgical Adhesions That Cause Recurrent Small Bowel Obstructions with a Conservative Manual Physical Therapy, Intussusception and Bowel Obstruction: Chap 7. NOVA Scientific Publishing – 2015

Prospective Study

Decreasing recurrent bowel obstructions, improving quality of life with physiotherapy: Controlled study

Amanda D Rice, Kimberley Patterson, Evette D Reed, Belinda F Wurn, Kristen Robles, Bernhard Klingenberg, Leonard B Weinstock, Janey SA Pratt, C Richard King III, Lawrence J Wurn

Amanda D Rice, Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine-Phoenix, University of Arizona, Phoenix, AZ 85004, United States

Kimberley Patterson, Evette D Reed, Belinda F Wurn, Kristen Robles, Lawrence J Wurn, Clear Passage Therapies, Gainesville, FL 32606, United States

Bernhard Klingenberg, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Williams College, Williamstown, MA 01267, United States

Leonard B Weinstock, Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, Specialists in Gastroenterology, LLC, St. Louis, MO 63141, United States

Janey SA Pratt, Department of Surgery, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA 94035, United States

C Richard King III, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32607, United States

ORCID number: Amanda D Rice (0000-0003-0708-2160); Kimberley Patterson (0000-0003-3370-9924); Evette D Reed (0000-0003-2298-6993); Belinda F Wurn (0000-0002-0413-4685); Kristen Robles (0000-0002-3428-0544); Bernhard Klingenberg (0000-0001-9959-9989); Leonard B Weinstock (0000-0001-6484-1691); Janey SA Pratt (0000-0002-6850-3427); C Richard King III (0000-0003-0807-1260); Lawrence J Wurn (0000-0002-1393-1264).

Author contributions: Rice AD, Klingenberg B, King CR and Wurn LJ designed the study; Patterson K, Reed ED, Wurn BF and Wurn LJ performed the treatment; Rice AD performed subject follow up and control subject data collection; Klingenberg B performed the statistical analysis; Rice AD wrote the manuscript; Patterson K, Reed ED, Wurn BF, Robles K, Klingenberg B, Weinstock LB, Pratt JS, King CR and Wurn LJ revised the manuscript for final submission.

Institutional review board statement: This study was approved by MaGil Institutional Review Board.

Clinical trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov registration

#NCT02639195 <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT02639195>

Informed consent statement: All subjects provided consent for the study. Treated subjects provided written consent; untreated subjects provided consent *via* the Assessment Center online system.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Belinda F Wurn and Lawrence J Wurn are the owners of Clear Passage. All other authors report no conflicts.

Data sharing statement: Data will be available upon request deidentified with IRB approval.

CONSORT 2010 statement: The guidelines of the CONSORT 2010 Statement have been adopted for this study.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Correspondence to: Lawrence J Wurn, Research Associate, Clear Passage Therapies, 4421 NW 39th Ave, Suite 2-2, Gainesville, FL 32606, United States. larryw@clearpassage.com
Telephone: +1-352-3361433
Fax: +1-352-3369980

Received: February 26, 2018
Peer-review started: February 27, 2018
First decision: March 15, 2018
Revised: April 22, 2018
Accepted: May 6, 2018
Article in press: May 6, 2018
Published online: May 21, 2018

Abstract

AIM

To compare (1) quality of life and (2) rate of recurrent small bowel obstructions (SBO) for patients treated with novel manual physiotherapy vs no treatment.

METHODS

One hundred and three subjects (age 19-89) with a history of recurrent adhesive SBO were treated with a manual physiotherapy called the Clear Passage Approach (CPA) which focused on decreasing adhesive crosslinking in abdominopelvic viscera. Pre- and post-therapy data measured recurring obstructions and quality of life, using a validated test sent 90 d after therapy. Results were compared to 136 untreated control subjects who underwent the same measurements for subjects who did not receive any therapy, which is the normal course for patients with recurring SBO. Comparison of the groups allowed us to assess changes when the physiotherapy was added as an adjunct treatment for patients with recurring SBO.

RESULTS

Despite histories of more prior hospitalizations, obstructions, surgeries, and years impacted by bowel issues, the 103 CPA-treated subjects reported a significantly lower rate of repeat SBO than 136 untreated controls (total obstructions $P = 0.0003$; partial obstructions $P = 0.0076$). Subjects treated with the therapy demonstrated significant improvements in five of six total domains in the validated Small Bowel Obstruction Questionnaire (SBO-Q). Domains of diet, pain, gastrointestinal symptoms, quality of life (QOL) and pain severity when compared to post CPA treatment were significantly improved ($P < 0.0001$). The medication domain was not changed in the CPA treated group ($P = 0.176$).

CONCLUSION

CPA physical therapy was effective for patients with adhesive SBO with significantly lower recurrence rate, improvement in reported symptoms and overall quality of life of subjects.

Key words: Clear passage approach; Manual therapy; Physical therapy; Small bowel obstructions; Adhesions; Physiotherapy; Alternative therapy

© **The Author(s) 2018.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: A manual soft tissue physical therapy protocol is an effective low risk preventative treatment option for patients who suffer recurrent adhesive small bowel obstructions. It increased the quality of life of subjects by decreasing pain, decreasing recurrent obstructions, improving diet and increasing bodily function. Because the therapy was performed in an outpatient setting, it eliminated the need for hospitalization and the risk and increased cost of surgery.

Rice AD, Patterson K, Reed ED, Wurn BF, Robles K, Klingenberg B, Weinstock LB, Pratt JS, King CR, Wurn LJ. Decreasing recurrent bowel obstructions, improving quality of life with physiotherapy: Controlled study. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018; 24(19): 2108-2119 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v24/i19/2108.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v24.i19.2108>

INTRODUCTION

Adhesive small bowel obstruction (SBO) is a common life-threatening complication of surgery or abdominal trauma in both pediatric and adult populations, typically caused by adhesions that form as a normal part of the healing process^[1-3]. As a side effect of the inflammatory response required for healing to occur, adhesions form in tissues at and near the surgical repair within hours, due to the presence of collagen and scar tissue mediators.

Surgery is frequently cited as the primary cause of adhesive bowel obstruction; repeat surgery increases the risk of adhesion formation^[4]. The financial cost per patient for adhesiolysis and bowel resection was \$65955 and \$114175, respectively, with 30-d hospital readmission rates of 12.3% and 18.1% in the United States in 2010^[5]. The average hospital stay for cases of SBO surgery was 14.2 d, and for abdominal adhesiolysis was 8.4 d^[5].

The present cost of a one-week course of CPA physical therapy is under \$7000 in the United States (and is comparable in the United Kingdom). The therapy is performed in an outpatient setting; no hospitalization or surgery is required.

A large previously published clinical study that followed adult subjects 10 years post-surgery found that more than 30% of the surgical small bowel resection subjects underwent additional surgery due to adhesions within the study time frame^[6]. In a prospective analysis of patients undergoing laparotomy who had previously had one or more abdominal operations, 93% (195/210) were found to have adhesions attributable to their prior surgery^[1]. Patients with mesh inserted during surgery have been identified as having an increase in adhesion formation, leading to increased complexity of future surgical procedures^[7-9].

Thus, adhesion related disease causes significant surgical efforts, hospital resources and comprises major expenditures each year. Additionally, there is a considerable negative impact on the patients' quality of life (QOL).

In the absence of bowel ischemia, strangulation or perforation, current guidelines for the management of SBO are gastric decompression with suction, full strength gastrographin (in some institutions), and IV hydration for the first 24-72 h to see if the obstruction will resolve without surgery^[10]. While sometimes effective in treating the current obstruction, this approach does not address

the internal adhesions or the risk of subsequent bowel obstructions^[11,12]. The only treatment currently available to reverse adhesive bowel obstructions is surgery, which frequently causes new adhesions. A number of surgical techniques, modifications, and adhesion preventing medications and barriers have been investigated, but no solution has been shown to significantly prevent the formation of adhesions to date^[13-19]. Thus, any therapy that decreases the risk of bowel obstruction in the absence of surgery is of significant importance.

Manual physical therapy (mPT), a method of physiotherapy and rehabilitation, is used to treat patients with a wide variety of adhesive conditions including burns, adhesive capsulitis, radiculopathy, pain, infertility, and lessening of scars^[20-30]. This therapy has shown promise in preventing adhesion formation in animal models^[31,32]. The Clear Passage Approach (CPA), a specialized mPT regimen, hypothesized to deform the adhesions that cause SBO episodes, has been demonstrated as effective in decreasing adhesions, pain, and improving QOL in subjects with recurrent SBO in case control and efficacy studies^[33-35]. In pilot studies, independent radiologic reports showed that the therapy cleared bowel stricture and obstruction, obviating the need for planned laparotomy for adhesiolysis and SBO^[36,33-35].

Surgery is often necessary in cases of bowel obstruction complicated by ischemia or caused by hernia or cancer, however surgery has not been shown to improve quality of life (QOL), reduce pain or recurrence rate in patients with adhesive SBO. Further, except as shown in pilot studies of CPA therapy, there is currently no other treatment available to patients with known adhesions who are currently not obstructed to reduce recurrence, pain or need for further surgery. In this study, we report on the use of the CPA, a manual physical therapy protocol, to treat abdominal and pelvic adhesions that cause SBO, and to improve the quality of life (QOL) of study subjects when compared to untreated subjects in a control arm.

Aim and hypothesis

The aim of the study is twofold: (1) To determine whether a manual physiotherapy can lower the rate of repeat SBO in patients who have undergone prior adhesive bowel obstructions and surgeries, and (2) to examine whether the therapy can improve the quality of life of these patients, using a validated test.

The hypothesis is that the manual physiotherapy treatment can decrease SBO recurrence and improve the self-reported quality of life in subjects with a history of adhesive SBO, compared to untreated control subjects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eligibility criteria

Subjects in the retrospective CPA treatment arm were selected for inclusion in the study based upon medical history and completion of both a pre-treatment and post-treatment questionnaire. Only adult subjects were

included in this study. Exclusion criteria included: BMI > 36, active infection, abnormal ovarian cysts, surgery within the last 90 d, and bleeding disorders. Each subject was provided with a written informed consent as is standard for the clinical practice. Subjects with a recent history of cancer were excluded from this study. A total of 103 subjects were treated at one of five private physical therapy clinics affiliated with Clear Passage between November 2012 and October 2015. All CPA therapists and affiliates completed 80 h practical training and evaluation course prior to becoming certified in the Clear Passage Approach. All subjects with follow up were eligible and included in the analysis.

Subjects in the control arm were recruited *via* website and online advertisements for the study. Inclusion criteria included: adult subjects who had experienced a partial or total bowel obstruction within the last two years. Exclusion criteria included: Cancer within the last 5 years, chemotherapy or radiation treatments in the last 10 years, current pregnancy, or prior treatment at Clear Passage. All study related activities were performed *via* the NIH Assessment Center (www.assessmentcenter.net) including screening, informed consent and all data collection. A total of 460 subjects were screened, with 281 subjects eligible and 260 completing the initial questionnaire; 6 subjects were removed from the control study due to having previously received CPA treatment, 117 subjects were lost to follow up, 1 subject was removed due to less than 50% of the follow up questionnaire being completed. A total of 136 subjects completed both questionnaires and were included in the analysis. The study diagram is shown in Figure 1. This study was approved by MaGil Institutional Review Board.

Study design

This was a non-randomized controlled study comparing subjects with a history of SBO that underwent CPA treatment to that of untreated control subjects. The CPA treatment group included a retrospective chart review of subjects treated for adhesive disease with a history of SBO that had undergone standard clinic follow up. All subjects included in the treatment arm received treatment within private outpatient physical therapy clinics by therapists certified in the CPA. Subjects in a prospective untreated control arm, including those not treated with the CPA, were recruited for participation in a survey based observational data collection.

Outcomes were measured *via* an observational manner using the validated paper based SBO Questionnaire (SBO-Q) using previously established quartiles^[37]. The SBO-Q was developed and validated to be used as a patient reported tool to quantify the experiences of patients with recurrent SBOs. The questionnaire is comprised of five domains and a pain rating section. Each domain measures a distinct aspect of QOL. The Diet domain assesses the diet of the subject from inability to tolerate any liquid to a normal solid food diet. The Pain domain reported pain throughout the body, with oral intake of food or liquid, and with bowel function.




Figure 1 Study diagram.

The Gastrointestinal (GI) Symptom domain quantifies symptoms often associated with SBO such as nausea, emesis, bowel spasm and constipation. The Medication domain reports the frequency of medications required to maintain bowel function. The QOL domain quantifies the amount of time off work, social activities and overall concern of the patient regarding their bowel function. These tend to be major lifetime concerns for many people who experience recurring bowel obstructions.

Subjects provided previous medical history and records; no radiologic evaluations were performed as a part of the study. Questionnaires were completed prior to treatment for the control measure. The post-treatment questionnaires were sent to the subjects 90 d post-treatment, with completion at 78-140 d post-treatment for the CPA treatment arm and 90-115 d for the control arm subjects.

Sample size calculation

For evaluating the power of our study, we made some simplifying assumptions. We assumed that differences in the 6 domain scores before and after treatment follow a normal distribution. For all but two of the SBO-Q domains we assumed that the mean difference was zero (*i.e.*, no treatment effect), but for two domains we assumed that the treatment lowered the average domain score by 1 point. We assumed that the standard deviation of each difference was 2.5 points. We then simulated the power by looking at the proportion of times that both multiplicities adjusted two-sided *P*-values for the two domains where we assumed a treatment effect is less than 5%. When selecting a sample size of 100, this was the case in 82% of our simulations. Therefore, 100 patients in the pre- and post-treatment comparison result in at least 80% power to detect a

significant difference of 1 point in the mean domain score of two domains. All computations were made in the statistical software R^[38].

Treatment

Subjects treated with the CPA received manual therapy to areas of the body identified by the treating therapists as showing decreased mobility or function during the evaluation *via* palpation and range of motion tests. All subjects were evaluated from head to toe. In the case of these subjects the focus of the therapy was to the abdominopelvic viscera that demonstrated decreased mobility, hypothesized to be due to adhesions formed after surgery, trauma, or other tissue damage, therefore leading to SBO episodes. The majority of the therapy focused on treating abdominopelvic viscera that demonstrated decreased mobility with palpation. Treatment was administered in multiple hour sessions, which included up to four hours a day over the course of 5 d with the typical subject completing 20 h of treatment. The average number of treatment hours per subject was 22.6 ± 8.5 h with a range of 10-74 h; 75 of 103 subjects in CPA treatment group received 20 h of treatment.

In order to decrease adhesions, the CPA uses techniques from a variety of manual modalities to treat the subject in an individualized manner, focusing on each subjects' areas of restriction and concern with the goal of deforming adhesions and increasing the mobility of adhered tissues and organs, working from the most superficial tissues to those deeper in the body. The therapy accomplishes this by the use of various site-specific pressures across restrictive bands of adhered tissues and structures within the abdomen and pelvis, using a bimanual deep massage. The intent of the therapy is to detach and reverse the crosslinking that

Table 1 Demographics

Characteristics	Control	CPA treatment	P value
Age, yr			
Median	33.5	57	< 0.001
Range	19-71	19-89	
Sex			0.0853
Male	58	33	
Female	78	70	
Race			
White	80	90	
Black/African American	39	1	
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	2	
Asian	3	2	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	
Other	11	0	
Unknown	2	8	
Ethnicity			
Hispanic or Latino	12	1	
Not Hispanic or Latino	108	91	
Unknown	16	11	
Marital Status			
Married/long term relationship	47	69	
Single	63	16	
Divorced/widowed	25	11	
Unknown	1	7	

CPA: Clear Passage Approach.

binds collagenous fibers together to form adhesions. The therapists work progressively deeper from the most superficial tissues restoring mobility *via* myofascial release^[39]. Adhesions within and between organs and interstitial spaces within the viscera were addressed using the Wurn technique^[29,34] which is a bimanual soft tissue manipulation that can include a slow stretch to adhered areas within the abdomen and pelvis, previously shown to return patency to occluded fallopian tubes. The intent of this technique is to detach crosslinks that bind collagen fibers, thus shearing apart adhesions manually, in order to return the tissues to a more mobile, less adhered state. Toward the end of deep tissue work, CPA certified therapists may use visceral manipulation to increase organ motility^[39]. The amount of force and time the force was applied to each area has the potential to be significant but was maintained within the tolerance of the subject in accordance with guidelines of the American Physical Therapy Association. Detailed clinical treatment records were maintained throughout the course of therapy.

Subject monitoring

Subjects enrolled in the control arm of the study were not monitored as this was an observational web-based study arm. Subjects included in the treatment arm were monitored daily for changes in pain, diet, bowel habits and overall well-being during their treatment as is standard practice. Adverse events were monitored by the treating therapists during the course of treatment and reviewed during the chart review process. There were no adverse events reported in the CPA treated group within the clinical data, or by follow up.

Disease scoring

The overall degree of adhesive disease was determined using a clinic generated disease scoring table that takes into account all qualitative and quantitative measures performed during the initial evaluation and post treatment discharge assessment. The degree of impact on a subject's quality of life for range of motion was determined using multiple resources, as well as the extensive experience of the therapists. Multiple other standard physiotherapy tests were also performed and included in the assessment for disease score^[40-44]. Supplemental Table 1 contains the disease scoring protocol in which the score is expressed as a decimal in which 0 represents no disease or impact on overall function and 1 is severely impacted.

Statistical analysis

We used χ^2 tests and *t*-tests for the analysis comparing the demographic and medical variables between the treated and control group.

For analyzing the multivariate responses from the SBO-Q we used the minimum *P*-value based on a paired *t*-statistic for each survey question as the test statistic for the overall and the domain hypotheses^[45]. The raw and multiplicity adjusted *P*-values displayed in tables refer to testing the null hypothesis that the mean difference between the initial and follow-up survey equals 0. Since there are 6 domains for which we tested for a mean difference, we used multiplicity adjusted *P*-values to adjust the raw *P*-values and account for the fact that we are testing 6 hypotheses simultaneously. For a particular domain, a significant difference in the mean domain score can be concluded when the corresponding multiplicity adjusted domain *P*-value is less than 0.05.

Table 2 Medical history

	Control	CPA treatment	P value
Number of previous surgeries			
0	4	4	< 0.001
1-2	89	16	
3-5	39	34	
> 6	3	49	
unknown	1	0	
Number of prior partial bowel obstructions in the last 3 yr			< 0.001
0	3	24	
1-10	132	70	
11-20	1	8	
> 20	0	1	
Number of prior total bowel obstructions			0.4894
0	4	53	
1	47	29	
2	46	11	
3	27	3	
4 or more	12	7	
Number of years impact on life due to bowel issues			< 0.0001
Average (SD)	5.5 (7.2)	10.7 (11.2)	

CPA: Clear Passage Approach.

Using the multiplicity adjusted *P*-values (computed via the Bonferroni correction) will control the overall (familywise) error rate at 5%. Similarly, the multiplicity adjusted *P*-values for each survey question account for the fact that we are testing 37 hypotheses comparing mean differences simultaneously, one for each question. For any given survey question, a multiplicity adjusted *P*-value less than 0.05 implies a significant difference in the mean score, controlling the overall rate of Type I errors at 5% when testing these 37 hypotheses simultaneously.

The statistical package R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

A total of 103 CPA treated subjects and 136 untreated control subjects were included in the analysis. Demographics for study subjects are located in Table 1 and number of prior surgeries and bowel obstructions are located in Table 2. Subjects in the CPA treatment group were significantly older, and the total numbers of prior surgeries, partial SBOs, and years impacted with bowel symptoms were much higher than that of the control group. There were 46.2% male subjects in the control group and 32% male subjects in the CPA treated group (*P* = 0.0853). The average age of subjects in the control group was 36.4 ± 12.5 years and 54.5 ± 15.7 years for the CPA treated group (*P* < 0.001); the average number of prior surgeries in the control is 2.07 ± 1.29 as compared to 4.56 ± 2.86 for the CPA treated (*P* = 0.0001). The average number of prior partial SBOs in the control group was 1.88 ± 1.60 and 3.97 ± 5.09 in the CPA treated group (*P* = 0.0001). The number of total SBO was 2.17 ± 1.85 for the control group and 1.68 ± 7.95 for the CPA treated group (*P* = 0.4894). The number of years the subjects reported bowel symptoms

impacted their lives was 5.5 ± 7.2 for the control group and 10.7 ± 11.2 for the CPA treated group (*P* < 0.001).

Rates of recurrent SBO

All subjects at the 90-d follow up questionnaire were queried regarding the numbers of bowel obstructions, hospitalization, and surgery for SBO experienced. There were differences between the groups, with the CPA treated group reporting fewer total number of obstructions, hospitalizations and surgeries. A total of 124 control and 103 CPA treated subjects responded to the questions. 21.77% of the control group subjects and 8.74% of the CPA group subjects experienced a partial bowel obstruction between the two questionnaires (*P* = 0.0076), 14.52% of control group subjects and 0.97% of CPA group subjects reported a total bowel obstruction (*P* = 0.0003), 5.65% of control group subjects and 1.94% of CPA group subjects reported undergoing surgery for a bowel obstruction (*P* = 0.1548). The differences between untreated and treated patients at follow up would naturally extrapolate into a sizable decrease in cost, due to avoiding repeat SBO-related hospitalizations and surgeries.

SBO-Q analysis

For both the control and CPA group we tested the null hypothesis that for each of the 6 domains the mean domain score the first time the survey was administered was equal to the mean domain score the second time the survey was administered 90 d later. The alternative hypothesis was that there was a significant difference in the mean domain score between the initial and follow-up administration of the survey for at least one domain. Missing data from any question/subject was removed from the overall analysis.

For the control group data, despite the fact that no intervention took place between the two times the survey

Table 3 Average difference (before/after) in Small Bowel Obstruction Questionnaire scores and *P*-values for each domain and question for the control group

Domain question	Difference mean, St. Dev	Raw <i>P</i> -value	Multiplicity adjusted <i>P</i> -value	Lower confidence bound	Upper confidence bound
Diet	-0.06 0.93	0.425	1.000	-0.28	0.15
Liquid	-0.09 1.08	0.342	1.000	-0.39	0.21
Soft	-0.20 1.20	0.055	1.000	-0.54	0.14
Solid	-0.11 1.48	0.388	1.000	-0.53	0.31
Anything	0.13 1.35	0.256	1.000	-0.25	0.51
Pain ¹	0.17 0.61	0.002	0.013	0.02	0.31
General ²	0.50 1.23	0.000	0.000	0.15	0.85
Upper GI	0.00 1.11	1.000	1.000	-0.31	0.31
Lower GI ²	0.35 1.14	0.000	0.016	0.03	0.67
BM ²	0.50 0.96	0.000	0.000	0.23	0.77
Head_neck	0.01 1.05	0.935	1.000	-0.29	0.30
Migrane	0.05 1.18	0.612	1.000	-0.28	0.38
Coccyx	-0.13 1.07	0.150	1.000	-0.43	0.17
Eating ²	0.35 1.24	0.001	0.045	0.00	0.70
Drinking	0.02 1.10	0.815	1.000	-0.29	0.33
Back	0.01 1.11	0.877	1.000	-0.30	0.33
GI symptoms ¹	0.18 0.58	0.000	0.003	0.05	0.32
Nausea	0.29 1.22	0.006	0.215	-0.05	0.64
Vomit	-0.04 0.88	0.627	1.000	-0.28	0.21
GI_spasm	-0.01 0.97	0.860	1.000	-0.29	0.26
Constipation	0.06 0.90	0.448	1.000	-0.19	0.31
Diarrhea	0.26 1.14	0.010	0.354	-0.06	0.58
BS_JLM	0.04 0.96	0.592	1.000	-0.22	0.31
Gas_bloat_dist ²	0.39 1.32	0.001	0.028	0.02	0.76
Inc_sounds ²	0.41 1.14	0.000	0.002	0.09	0.73
No_BM	0.07 1.10	0.437	1.000	-0.24	0.38
Ab_BM	0.01 1.22	0.889	1.000	-0.33	0.36
Eat_bloat ²	0.45 1.51	0.001	0.025	0.03	0.88
Medication	-0.03 1.39	0.804	1.000	-0.35	0.29
Meds	-0.03 1.39	0.804	1.000	-0.42	0.36
Quality of life	0.10 0.77	0.126	0.759	-0.08	0.28
Off_work	0.13 1.19	0.218	1.000	-0.21	0.46
Off_social	0.10 1.42	0.396	1.000	-0.30	0.50
Off_sex	0.12 1.37	0.313	1.000	-0.27	0.51
Off_daily_function	0.25 1.38	0.036	1.000	-0.14	0.64
Off_eat_out	0.34 1.23	0.002	0.059	-0.01	0.69
Massage_worry	-0.31 1.19	0.003	0.104	-0.65	0.02
Worry	0.06 1.07	0.521	1.000	-0.24	0.36
Pain severity	0.23 1.46	0.064	0.382	-0.10	0.57
Duration_pain	0.33 1.24	0.003	0.105	-0.02	0.68
Recent_max_pain	0.24 2.62	0.295	1.000	-0.50	0.97
Recent_min_pain	0.35 2.17	0.064	1.000	-0.26	0.96
Recent_avg_pain	0.02 1.89	0.892	1.000	-0.51	0.55

¹Denotes domains for which average scores (over all questions within a domain) are significantly different between the initial and the follow-up survey, controlling the familywise error rate (over the 6 domains) at 5%. ²Denotes questions for which scores are significantly different between the initial and the follow-up survey, controlling the familywise error rate (over the 37 questions included in the SBO-Q) at 5%. SBO-Q: Small Bowel Obstruction Questionnaire.

was administered, Table 3 shows a significant difference (multiplicity adjusted $P < 0.05$) in mean domain scores for the Pain and GI Symptoms domain. However, the lower bounds of a corresponding confidence interval for the mean difference in domain scores are close to zero (0.02 and 0.05, respectively), indicating that, while statistically significant, the actual improvement may be very small.

A further analysis of the individual survey questions shows that within the Pain domain, General, Lower GI, BM and Eating pain improved significantly between the initial and follow-up survey. However, note the small lower bounds for the corresponding confidence intervals for the mean difference, indicating very small changes except perhaps for BM and General pain. The significant

improvement in those two could reflect a time-effect, the perceived pain lessening over time. Similarly, the three significant differences for individual questions in the GI Symptoms domains all have very small lower bounds of corresponding confidence intervals. For all other domains and all other individual survey questions within domains no significant differences emerged (multiplicity adjusted P -values > 0.05). The diet domain had multiplicity adjusted $P = 0.425$, the Medication domain $P = 0.804$, and the QOL domain $P = 0.126$, all indicating no major changes in symptoms over the three-month period studied.

For the CPA group, Table 4 shows significant differences for all domains (multiplicity adjusted P -value < 0.05) except for the Medication domain (multiplicity adjusted

Table 4 Average difference (before/after) in Small Bowel Obstruction Questionnaire scores and *P*-values for each domain and question for the Clear Passage Approach treatment group

Domain question	Change mean, St. Dev	Raw <i>P</i> -value	Multiplicity adjusted <i>P</i> -value	Lower confidence bound	Upper confidence bound
Diet ¹	0.77 1.03	0.000	0.000	0.49	1.04
Liquid ²	0.49 1.19	0.000	0.003	0.10	0.87
Soft ²	0.71 1.38	0.000	0.000	0.26	1.16
Solid ²	0.91 1.70	0.000	0.000	0.35	1.47
Anything ²	0.98 1.66	0.000	0.000	0.44	1.53
Pain ¹	0.52 0.73	0.000	0.000	0.33	0.72
General ²	0.88 1.41	0.000	0.000	0.43	1.34
Upper GI ²	0.85 1.34	0.000	0.000	0.41	1.29
Lower GI ²	0.58 1.49	0.000	0.005	0.10	1.07
BM ²	0.49 1.08	0.000	0.001	0.13	0.85
Head_neck	0.39 1.39	0.005	0.201	-0.06	0.85
Migrane	0.11 0.69	0.117	1.000	-0.12	0.34
Coccyx	0.16 0.75	0.035	1.000	-0.09	0.41
Eating ²	0.68 1.51	0.000	0.001	0.18	1.17
Drinking ²	0.46 1.26	0.000	0.013	0.05	0.87
Back ²	0.59 1.29	0.000	0.000	0.17	1.01
GI symptoms ¹	0.44 0.68	0.000	0.000	0.26	0.62
Nausea ²	0.53 1.13	0.000	0.000	0.16	0.90
Vomit	0.17 0.58	0.003	0.113	-0.02	0.36
GI_spasm	0.20 0.89	0.026	0.979	-0.09	0.49
Constipation ²	0.54 1.48	0.000	0.013	0.06	1.03
Diarrhea ²	0.41 1.19	0.001	0.028	0.02	0.79
BS_JLM	0.06 1.01	0.559	1.000	-0.27	0.39
Gas_bloat_dist ²	0.72 1.46	0.000	0.000	0.24	1.19
Inc_sounds	0.37 1.35	0.008	0.286	-0.08	0.81
No_BM ²	0.56 1.36	0.000	0.003	0.11	1.01
Ab_BM ²	0.63 1.54	0.000	0.003	0.13	1.14
Eat_bloat ²	0.71 1.39	0.000	0.000	0.26	1.17
Medication	0.40 1.84	0.029	0.176	-0.09	0.89
Meds	0.40 1.84	0.029	1.000	-0.20	1.00
Quality of life ¹	0.78 0.85	0.000	0.000	0.55	1.01
Off_work ²	0.42 0.91	0.000	0.000	0.12	0.72
Off_social ²	0.78 1.29	0.000	0.000	0.36	1.21
Off_sex ²	0.61 1.45	0.000	0.003	0.12	1.10
Off_daily_function ²	0.99 1.40	0.000	0.000	0.53	1.45
Off_eat_out ²	0.64 1.38	0.000	0.000	0.19	1.09
Massage_worry ²	0.75 1.82	0.000	0.003	0.15	1.34
Worry ²	1.32 1.64	0.000	0.000	0.78	1.85
Pain Severity ¹	1.51 1.66	0.000	0.000	1.07	1.95
Duration_pain ²	1.21 1.86	0.000	0.000	0.61	1.82
Recent_max_pain ²	2.70 3.26	0.000	0.000	1.62	3.78
Recent_min_pain ²	0.65 1.82	0.001	0.023	0.04	1.25
Recent_avg_pain ²	1.20 1.97	0.000	0.000	0.55	1.86

¹Denotes domains for which average scores (over all questions within a domain) are significantly different between the initial and the follow-up survey, controlling the familywise error rate (over the 6 domains) at 5%. ²Denotes questions for which scores are significantly different between the initial and the follow-up survey, controlling the familywise error rate (over the 37 questions) at 5%.

P-value = 0.176). The domain confidence intervals indicate that the mean difference in the average Diet and Quality of Life score falls somewhere in between 0.5 and 1.0 on the 5-point scale, which is a rather large average effect. (For comparison, in the control group, no significant differences were reported for these two domains). Further, the difference in the average Pain Severity score was significant, ranging from 1.07 to 1.95. (For the control group, there was no significant difference.)

Overall, in the CPA group 29 out of 37 survey questions showed a significant difference (in fact, improvement), compared to just 7 in the control group. Judging by the confidence intervals (CIs), some of the strongest improvements were seen in the Pain, QOL, and Diet domains. In the Pain Domain, notable improvements

occurred for the questions "Experience of pain in general" (CI: 0.43-1.34), "Experience pain in the upper GI region" (CI: 0.41-1.29), "Recent Maximum Pain" (CI: 1.62-3.78) and "Duration of Pain" (CI: 0.61-1.82).

Patients who have undergone the significant trauma of SBO accompanied by long hospital stays (average 14.2 d^[5]), followed by invasive surgeries and recovery from those events have significant "QOL" concerns afterwards. With regard to the QOL domain, some noteworthy large differences between the initial and follow-up survey were observed for the question "How often the subject worries about another SBO" (CI: 0.78-1.85), "How often the subject was unable to perform daily functions" (CI: 0.53-1.45) and "How often the subject was unable to attend social events", (CI: 0.36-1.21). Related to QOL

Table 5 Quartile assignment for each domain of the Small Bowel Obstruction Questionnaire for all groups and questionnaire time points

	Baseline					90 d/90 d post treatment				
	Diet	Pain	GI	QOL	Medication	Diet	Pain	GI	QOL	Medication
Quartiles for control subjects										
Normal	44	16	24	4	100	34	24	26	12	100
Mild	54	76	79	37	27	61	80	86	22	24
Moderate	24	41	29	59	9	30	29	22	78	12
Severe	14	3	4	36	0	11	3	2	24	0
Quartiles for CPA treated subjects										
Normal	24	47	50	22	71	52	74	74	60	82
Mild	21	27	34	39	7	26	22	20	26	3
Moderate	35	24	15	27	25	16	6	8	9	18
Severe	23	5	4	15	0	9	1	1	8	0

but analyzed under the Diet domain, the question about "The ability to eat anything" also showed some large improvements (CI: 0.44-1.53).

When the total number of subjects per SBO-Q domain are assessed for both questionnaires for the quartiles indicating the degree of impact (normal, mild, moderate and severe) it was observed that the general trend demonstrated more subjects reporting experiences corresponding to no impact or normal for the quartiles in the CPA treatment group than the control group (Table 5). The significance observed in the individual question score analysis is also observed in this representation of the data, showing the clinical significance of these changes in the CPA treated group.

Trunk range of motion

All CPA treated subjects underwent a comprehensive physical therapy initial evaluation that included measurements for range of motion (ROM). It has been previously demonstrated that a significant improvement in ROM in the trunk was observed in patients with a history of SBO and may be a positive predictor for outcome. Included in these measurements are: trunk flexion; trunk extension; left and right side bending; left and right trunk rotation^[40,42-44].

Every subject who presented with decreased ROM demonstrated improvement for at least one of the measurements presented in Table 6. Furthermore, 31.47% of total measurements were within the normal range after CPA treatment compared to 19.74% before treatment. Although not all subjects had a normal ROM for all measures after treatment, all subjects demonstrated overall improvements.

Statistical analysis of changes showed a significant increase in the mean ROM after treatment compared to before for all six measures (multiplicity adjusted *P*-values < 0.05).

Patients with a history of SBO and surgery often presented with a kyphotic posture; we surmised this was due to the pull of abdominal adhesions, creating a forward flexion of the trunk. The improvement in trunk extension following CPA treatment suggests that adhesions in the abdomen and pelvis that prevented the subject from

bending backwards or standing upright prior to therapy diminished enough to show significant improvement in trunk extension following the manual treatment. The improvements in side bending and rotation also suggest that decreasing adhesions in the abdomen and pelvis allowed improved trunk ROM. These findings indicate that improvements in trunk ROM measurements may be suitable as a predictor for degree of adhesion deformation post CPA treatment.

Disease scoring

The observations made by the therapists at the initial evaluation and after CPA treatment were utilized to generate an objective numerical value for analysis of the overall state of the adhesive disease of the treated subjects. This numerical score takes into account the entire patient - assessed from head to toe, and includes ROM, organ motility, standard physical therapy tests, posture and tissue response with a range from 0 (no disease or deficiencies) to 1.0 (maximum disease) (supplemental Table 1). A total of 101 subjects in the CPA treated group were included in the assessment; results showed significant improvement in the full body disease score. Prior to CPA treatment the average disease score was 0.65 ± 0.11 (range 0.37-0.95) compared to post CPA treatment 0.29 ± 0.10 (range 0.1-0.53) (*P* < 0.001). This measure correlates well with both the ROM measures (Table 6 and the changes observed in the quartiles post CPA treatment in Table 5).

DISCUSSION

It is widely accepted that the adhesions that cause SBO and symptomology in subjects are often caused due to prior abdominal or pelvic surgery^[10,46]. This study is the first controlled study of a specific physical therapy protocol known as the Clear Passage Approach (CPA) in subjects with a history of SBO. The results of this study are very similar to those reported in an earlier uncontrolled efficacy study and case reports using the CPA to treat subjects with recurring SBO^[35]. In all measures, the subjects treated with the CPA experienced significant improvements as compared to experiences

Table 6 Range of motion averages in Clear Passage Approach treated subjects prior to and post Clear Passage Approach treatment

Range of motion measure (normal)	Pretreatment (n = 103) mean (SD)	Post treatment (n = 103) mean (SD)	Raw P value	Multiplicity adjusted P value	Lower confidence bound	Upper confidence bound
	Number with normal ROM	Number with normal ROM				
Flexion (80)	76.9 (19.4) 48	82.5 (15.1) 74	< 0.001	0.010	-10.4	-0.7
Extension (25)	25.2 (13.7) 45	29.9 (11.8) 77	< 0.001	< 0.001	-7.8	-1.5
Left side bending (45)	38.0 (10.3) 37	42.6 (8.7) 59	< 0.001	< 0.001	-7.2	-2.1
Right side bending (45)	38.8 (12.2) 47	43.4 (8.7) 62	< 0.001	< 0.001	-7.4	-1.8
Left rotation (45)	37.0 (14.8) 23	44.2 (14.4) 47	< 0.001	< 0.001	-10.4	-4
Right rotation (45)	39.3 (13.6) 44	45.5 (12.3) 70	< 0.001	< 0.001	-9.5	-2.9

ROM: Range of motion.

before CPA treatment and when compared to untreated controls. Manual physical therapy can provide adjunct therapy for known adhesions where there is no other available therapy proven to decrease recurrent SBO or to treat pain associated with adhesive disease. Unlike surgical procedures, adhesions do not appear to occur after treatment with the CPA^[28].

Because treatment group participants had more complex histories and symptoms, their improvements likely had more of an impact on daily function than subjects in the control group. The results from this study suggest that the CPA can be used to treat adhesions and scar adherence safely in the recurrent SBO subject population, demonstrating significant improvements in overall pain, severity of pain, QOL and number of episodes of SBO as compared to untreated subjects. Further, subjects who were previously concerned about having another SBO episode reported a significant decrease in that concern three months after treatment. In addition, the cost savings from using a relatively inexpensive outpatient treatment in place of surgery to prevent future obstruction, hospitalization and surgery is worthy of consideration.

Based upon changes in range of motion and disease scores, it is inferred that tissue and organ mobility was improved as the subjects demonstrated an increased range of motion in active movement tests. Improvements in range of motion allowed subjects to perform daily tasks more easily and contributed positively to their overall QOL.

The limitations of this study include the lack of randomization and sham treatment group. A sham treatment is challenging to accomplish in a study such as this where the treatment is 20 h of manual therapy over the course of one week. Indirect measures used to assess adhesions in the subjects including improvement in self-reported symptoms; ROM and objective disease scoring were used as outcome measures. While these measures are indirect, all correlated well with the post CPA treatment experiences and the decreased number of SBOs and surgeries in the treatment group, compared to the control group.

Today when a patient is discharged after non-surgical

(or surgical) management of adhesive SBO, there is no therapy that can be prescribed to help reduce recurrence. Further, the quality of life for patients who have undergone SBO, with or without surgery, is often greatly compromised. Repeat surgery for SBO is widely regarded as a primary cause of recurring SBO. This technique appears to delay or obviate surgery for many patients who have undergone the trauma of SBO, at a fraction of the cost of surgery. It offers a therapy where none is currently available. The CPA therapy appears to be a viable conservative option for patients who previously were told that there was nothing that they could do to reduce their chances of recurrent SBO.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Manual physiotherapy (mPT), called "manual physical therapy" in the United States, has been used to treat a wide variety of adhesive conditions including burns, adhesive capsulitis, radiculopathy, pain and the lessening of scars. In pilot studies, independent radiologic reports showed that the Clear Passage Approach (CPA), a specialized mPT performed in an outpatient setting, cleared bowel stricture and obstruction, obviating the need for planned surgical adhesiolysis and bowel resection. In case control and efficacy studies, CPA demonstrated effectiveness decreasing adhesions and pain, and improving quality of life (QOL) in subjects with recurrent small bowel obstruction (SBO).

Surgery is often cited as a primary cause of recurrent SBO due to the formation of post-operative adhesions. If a non-invasive outpatient therapy can decrease recurrent SBO and reduce the need for additional surgeries, it can improve quality of life for patients, with lower risk and decreased cost.

Research motivation

Adhesions that form after surgery present major problems for physicians and their patients. Surgeons note that adhesion barriers and gels are not always effective at preventing recurrent adhesions. The opportunity to delay or prevent post-surgical adhesions that can cause pain and recurring bowel obstruction is profound for patients. Many people live in fear that another major surgery or death could occur at any time, due to a recurrent obstruction.

Research objectives

The study has two main objectives: (1) To determine whether a manual physiotherapy can lower the rate of repeat SBO in patients who have undergone prior adhesive bowel obstructions and surgeries; and (2) to examine whether the therapy can improve the quality of life of these patients, using a validated test.

Research methods

This is a controlled phase two study in which 103 subjects with a history of recurrent adhesive SBO were treated with a manual physical therapy called the CPA. The focus of the therapy was to decrease adhesive crosslinking in abdominopelvic viscera. Pre- and post-therapy data measured recurring obstructions and quality of life using a validated test sent 90 d after therapy. Results were compared to 136 untreated control subjects who underwent the same measurements, but who did not receive any therapy. Until this method was developed, physical therapy has never been investigated as a route to treat recurring SBO.

Research results

Despite histories of more prior hospitalizations, obstructions, surgeries, and years impacted by bowel issues, the 103 CPA-treated subjects reported a significantly lower rate of repeat SBO than 136 untreated controls (total obstructions $P = 0.0003$; partial obstructions $P = 0.0076$). Subjects treated with the therapy demonstrated significant improvements in five of six total domains in the validated Small Bowel Obstruction Questionnaire (SBO-Q). Domains of diet, pain, gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms, quality of life (QOL) and pain severity when compared to post CPA treatment were significantly improved ($P < 0.0001$). The medication domain was not changed in the CPA treated group ($P = 0.176$).

Numerous studies examine the use of mPT to decrease adhesions and pain, and to improve function for conditions in various parts of the body. Pilot studies that examine the use of CPA include independent radiographs of cleared bowel obstruction. In a 10-year retrospective study of using CPA to treat adhesion-related female infertility ($n = 1392$), CPA opened blocked fallopian tubes in 60.85% (143/235) of women diagnosed with total tubal occlusion. In a recent study, mPT was shown to disrupt bowel adhesions in a rat model. This is the first controlled study of using a manual physiotherapy to decrease adhesions in the bowel.

The availability of the therapy is presently limited to private outpatient clinics in the United States and United Kingdom where therapists have been fully trained and certified in the CPA.

Research conclusions

A manual physiotherapy significantly improved quality of life and significantly decreased the rate of re-occlusion for patients with a history of SBO. Performed in an outpatient setting, the non-invasive therapy significantly reduced repeat obstructions. In addition, the physical therapy has a much lower risk and cost than hospitalization or surgery.

The study proposes that manual physiotherapy, which is commonly used to decrease adhesions in a variety of conditions, may be useful to decrease adhesions in the bowel. As such, it may delay or prevent recurring SBO.

This study noted that: (1) Post-surgical adhesions are frequently cited as the primary cause of SBO; (2) average costs in the United States for adhesiolysis and SBO are \$65955 and \$114175, respectively; (3) average hospital stays for adhesiolysis and SBO are 8.4 d and 14.2 d, respectively; (4) costs for a novel non-surgical physiotherapy to address adhesions and SBO are less than \$7000; (5) Subjects treated with the therapy reported a significantly lower rate of repeat SBO than the untreated controls (total obstructions $P = 0.0003$; partial obstructions $P = 0.0076$); (6) subjects treated with the therapy demonstrated significant improvements in five of six total domains in the validated SBO-Q; (7) statistical analysis showed a significant increase in all six measures of trunk range of motion (flexion, extension, left and right side bending, left and right rotation.)

A manual physiotherapy significantly decreased the rate of recurrent small bowel obstruction (SBO) and improved quality of life for patients with a history of prior SBO. Based on the decreased number of recurring obstructions and the measurable improvements in trunk range of motion, this manual physiotherapy can significantly improve outcomes and quality of life for patients who undergo abdominal or pelvic surgery to treat adhesions or SBO.

This study proposes the use of a specialized manual physiotherapy, the CPA, to delay or prevent recurring SBO. This study confirmed and quantified hypotheses from earlier pilot studies that CPA could increase QOL, delay or prevent recurring SBO, and delay or obviate the need for additional surgery for patients with recurring SBO, with less risk and a lower cost than the present model. The major implication for clinical practice is that physicians, who are often stymied by the frequent recurrence of adhesions and SBO following abdominal surgery or resection, now have a less risky and less costly alternative to repeat surgery.

Research perspectives

There is a place for a multi-disciplinary approach to a vexing problem for surgeons - the recurrence of adhesions and SBO following abdominal surgery or pelvic surgery. We hope to be able to quantify further the degree to which CPA can decrease the recurrence of SBO, and the need for repeat surgeries. A prospective controlled study with closely matched study groups could be performed with the CPA method vs sham physiotherapy.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Menzies D**, Ellis H. Intestinal obstruction from adhesions - how big is the problem? *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1990; **72**: 60-63 [PMID: 2301905]
- 2 **ten Broek RP**, Issa Y, van Santbrink EJ, Bouvy ND, Kruitwagen RF, Jeekel J, Bakkum EA, Rovers MM, van Goor H. Burden of adhesions in abdominal and pelvic surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2013; **347**: f5588 [PMID: 24092941 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.f5588]
- 3 **Parker MC**, Ellis H, Moran BJ, Thompson JN, Wilson MS, Menzies D, McGuire A, Lower AM, Hawthorn RJ, O'Brien F, Buchan S, Crowe AM. Postoperative adhesions: ten-year follow-up of 12,584 patients undergoing lower abdominal surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2001; **44**: 822-829; discussion 829-830 [PMID: 11391142 DOI: 10.1007/BF02234701]
- 4 **Strik C**, Stommel MW, Schipper LJ, van Goor H, Ten Broek RP. Risk factors for future repeat abdominal surgery. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2016; **401**: 829-837 [PMID: 27074725 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-016-1414-3]
- 5 HCUPnet: A tool for identifying, tracking, and analyzing national hospital statistics. 2012; Available from: URL: http://hcupnet.ahrq.gov/Hcupnet.jsp?Id=6D36343307A90AD9Form=SelLAYJS=YAction=%3E%3ENext%3E%3E_LAY=Researcher
- 6 **Wilson MS**, Ellis H, Menzies D, Moran BJ, Parker MC, Thompson JN. A review of the management of small bowel obstruction. Members of the Surgical and Clinical Adhesions Research Study (SCAR). *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1999; **81**: 320-328 [PMID: 10645174]
- 7 **Bingener J**, Kazantsev GB, Chopra S, Schwesinger WH. Adhesion formation after laparoscopic ventral incisional hernia repair with polypropylene mesh: a study using abdominal ultrasound. *JSLs* 2004; **8**: 127-131 [PMID: 15119656]
- 8 **van Eijck FC**, Wijnen RM, van Goor H. The incidence and morbidity of adhesions after treatment of neonates with gastroschisis and omphalocele: a 30-year review. *J Pediatr Surg* 2008; **43**: 479-483 [PMID: 18358285 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2007.10.027]
- 9 **Chelala E**, Baraké H, Estievenart J, Dessily M, Charara F, Allé JL. Long-term outcomes of 1326 laparoscopic incisional and ventral hernia repair with the routine suturing concept: a single institution experience. *Hernia* 2016; **20**: 101-110 [PMID: 26093891 DOI: 10.1007/s10029-015-1397-y]
- 10 **Di Saverio S**, Coccolini F, Galati M, Smerieri N, Biffi WL, Ansaloni L, Tugnoli G, Velmahos GC, Sartelli M, Bendinelli C, Fraga GP, Kelly MD, Moore FA, Mandalà V, Mandalà S, Masetti M, Jovine E, Pinna AD, Peitzman AB, Leppaniemi A, Sugarbaker PH, Goor HV, Moore EE, Jeekel J, Catena F. Bologna guidelines for diagnosis and management of adhesive small bowel obstruction (ASBO): 2013 update of the evidence-based guidelines from the world society of emergency surgery ASBO working group. *World J Emerg Surg* 2013; **8**: 42 [PMID: 24112637 DOI: 10.1186/1749-7922-8-42]
- 11 **Lorentzen L**, Øines MN, Oma E, Jensen KK, Jorgensen LN. Recurrence After Operative Treatment of Adhesive Small-Bowel Obstruction. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2018; **22**: 329-334 [PMID: 29030779 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-017-3604-x]
- 12 **Hajibandeh S**, Hajibandeh S, Panda N, Khan RMA, Bandyopadhyay SK, Dalmia S, Malik S, Huq Z, Mansour M. Operative versus non-operative management of adhesive small bowel obstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg* 2017; **45**: 58-66 [PMID: 28728984 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2017.07.073]
- 13 **Pismensky SV**, Kalzhanov ZR, Eliseeva MY, Kosmas IP, Mynbaev OA. Severe inflammatory reaction induced by peritoneal

- trauma is the key driving mechanism of postoperative adhesion formation. *BMC Surg* 2011; **11**: 30 [PMID: 22082071 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2482-11-30]
- 14 **Arung W**, Meurisse M, Detry O. Pathophysiology and prevention of postoperative peritoneal adhesions. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011; **17**: 4545-4553 [PMID: 22147959 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v17.i41.4545]
 - 15 **Yigitler C**, Karakas DO, Kucukodaci Z, Cosar A, Gülec B, Akin ML. Adhesion-preventing properties of 4% icodextrin and canola oil: a comparative experimental study. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 2012; **67**: 1303-1308 [PMID: 23184208 DOI: 10.6061/clinics/2012(11)14]
 - 16 **Cheong YC**, Shelton JB, Laird SM, Richmond M, Kudesia G, Li TC, Ledger WL. IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-alpha concentrations in the peritoneal fluid of women with pelvic adhesions. *Hum Reprod* 2002; **17**: 69-75 [PMID: 11756364 DOI: 10.1093/humrep/17.1.69]
 - 17 **ten Broek RP**, Wilbers J, van Goor H. Electrocautery causes more ischemic peritoneal tissue damage than ultrasonic dissection. *Surg Endosc* 2011; **25**: 1827-1834 [PMID: 21140171 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-010-1474-3]
 - 18 **Cahill RA**, Redmond HP. Cytokine orchestration in post-operative peritoneal adhesion formation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008; **14**: 4861-4866 [PMID: 18756592 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.14.4861]
 - 19 **Lauder CI**, Garcea G, Strickland A, Maddern GJ. Abdominal adhesion prevention: still a sticky subject? *Dig Surg* 2010; **27**: 347-358 [PMID: 20847564 DOI: 10.1159/000314805]
 - 20 **Sucher BM**. Ultrasonography-guided osteopathic manipulative treatment for a patient with thoracic outlet syndrome. *J Am Osteopath Assoc* 2011; **111**: 543-547 [PMID: 21955534 DOI: 10.7556/jaoa.2011.111.9.543]
 - 21 **Sampson S**, Meng M, Schulte A, Trainor D, Montenegro R, Aufiero D. Management of Dupuytren contracture with ultrasound-guided lidocaine injection and needle aponeurotomy coupled with osteopathic manipulative treatment. *J Am Osteopath Assoc* 2011; **111**: 113-116 [PMID: 21357497]
 - 22 **Boyles R**, Toy P, Mellon J Jr, Hayes M, Hammer B. Effectiveness of manual physical therapy in the treatment of cervical radiculopathy: a systematic review. *J Man Manip Ther* 2011; **19**: 135-142 [PMID: 22851876 DOI: 10.1179/2042618611Y.0000000011]
 - 23 **Bayliss AJ**, Klene FJ, Gundeck EL, Lohmani MT. Treatment of a patient with post-natal chronic calf pain utilizing instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization: a case study. *J Man Manip Ther* 2011; **19**: 127-134 [PMID: 22851875 DOI: 10.1179/2042618611Y.0000000006]
 - 24 **Şenbursa G**, Baltaci G, Atay ÖA. The effectiveness of manual therapy in supraspinatus tendinopathy. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc* 2011; **45**: 162-167 [PMID: 21765229 DOI: 10.3944/AOTT.2011.2385]
 - 25 **Gaspar PD**, Willis FB. Adhesive capsulitis and dynamic splinting: a controlled, cohort study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2009; **10**: 111 [PMID: 19735563 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2474-10-111]
 - 26 **Wurn LJ**, Wurn BF, King CR, Roscow AS, Scharf ES, Shuster JJ. Increasing orgasm and decreasing dyspareunia by a manual physical therapy technique. *MedGenMed* 2004; **6**: 47 [PMID: 15775874]
 - 27 **Wurn BF**, Wurn LJ, Patterson K, King CR, Scharf ES. Decreasing dyspareunia and dysmenorrhea in women with endometriosis via a manual physical therapy: Results from two independent studies. *J Endometr* 2011; **3**: 188-96 [DOI: 10.5301/JE.2012.9088]
 - 28 **Wurn BF**, Wurn LJ, King CR, Heuer MA, Roscow AS, Hornberger K, Scharf ES. Treating fallopian tube occlusion with a manual pelvic physical therapy. *Altern Ther Health Med* 2008; **14**: 18-23 [PMID: 18251317]
 - 29 **Wurn BF**, Wurn LJ, King CR, Heuer MA, Roscow AS, Scharf ES, Shuster JJ. Treating female infertility and improving IVF pregnancy rates with a manual physical therapy technique. *MedGenMed* 2004; **6**: 51 [PMID: 15266276]
 - 30 **Kramp ME**. Combined manual therapy techniques for the treatment of women with infertility: a case series. *J Am Osteopath Assoc* 2012; **112**: 680-684 [PMID: 23055467]
 - 31 **Bove GM**, Chapelle SL. Visceral mobilization can lyse and prevent peritoneal adhesions in a rat model. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 2012; **16**: 76-82 [PMID: 22196431 DOI: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2011.02.004]
 - 32 **Chapelle SL**, Bove GM. Visceral massage reduces postoperative ileus in a rat model. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 2013; **17**: 83-88 [PMID: 23294688 DOI: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2012.05.004]
 - 33 **Rice AD**, Wakefield LB, Patterson K, Reed ED, Wurn BF, King CR 3rd, Wurn LJ. Decreasing adhesions and avoiding further surgery in a pediatric patient involved in a severe pedestrian versus motor vehicle accident. *Pediatr Rep* 2014; **6**: 5126 [PMID: 24711912 DOI: 10.4081/pr.2014.5126]
 - 34 **Rice AD**, King R, Reed ED, Patterson K, Wurn BF, Wurn LJ. Manual Physical Therapy for Non-Surgical Treatment of Adhesion-Related Small Bowel Obstructions: Two Case Reports. *J Clin Med* 2013; **2**: 1-12 [PMID: 26237678 DOI: 10.3390/jcm2010001]
 - 35 **Rice AD**, Patterson K, Reed ED, Wurn BF, Klingenberg B, King CR 3rd, Wurn LJ. Treating Small Bowel Obstruction with a Manual Physical Therapy: A Prospective Efficacy Study. *Biomed Res Int* 2016; **2016**: 7610387 [PMID: 26989690 DOI: 10.1155/2016/7610387]
 - 36 **Rice AD**, Reed ED, Patterson K, Wurn BF, Wurn LJ. Clearing bowel obstruction and decreasing pain in a terminally ill patient via manual physical therapy. *J Palliat Med* 2013; **16**: 222-223 [PMID: 23362839 DOI: 10.1089/jpm.2012.0458]
 - 37 **Rice AD**, Wakefield LB, Patterson K, D'Avy Reed E, Wurn BF, Klingenberg B, King Iii CR, Wurn LJ. Development and Validation of a Questionnaire to Measure Serious and Common Quality of Life Issues for Patients Experiencing Small Bowel Obstructions. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2014; **2**: 139-149 [PMID: 27429266 DOI: 10.3390/healthcare2010139]
 - 38 A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2017
 - 39 Glossary of Osteopathic Terminology. 2011; Available from: <http://www.aacom.org/resources/bookstore/Documents/GOT2011ed.pdf>
 - 40 **Norkin CC**, White DJ. Measurement of Joint Motion: A Guide to Goniometry. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: F.A. Davis Company; 1995
 - 41 **Senbursa G**, Baltaci G, Atay A. Comparison of conservative treatment with and without manual physical therapy for patients with shoulder impingement syndrome: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2007; **15**: 915-921 [PMID: 17333123 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-007-0288-x]
 - 42 **Kendall F**, McCreary E. Muscles: Testing and Function. 3rd ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1983
 - 43 Surgeons AA of O, editor. Joint Motion: Method of Measuring and Recording. Chicago: AAOS; 1965
 - 44 **Hoppenfield S**. Physical Examination of the Spine and Extremities. New York: Appleton-Centry-Crofts; 1976
 - 45 **Westfall P**, Young S. Resampling-based multiple testing: Examples and methods for p-value adjustment. New York: Wiley; 1993
 - 46 **Brüggmann D**, Tchatchian G, Wallwiener M, Münstedt K, Tinneberg HR, Hackethal A. Intra-abdominal adhesions: definition, origin, significance in surgical practice, and treatment options. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2010; **107**: 769-775 [PMID: 21116396 DOI: 10.3238/arztebl.2010.0769]

P-Reviewer: Delibegovic S, Kaya DO, Ünver B **S-Editor:** Wang XJ
L-Editor: A **E-Editor:** Huang Y





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<http://www.wjgnet.com>



ISSN 1007-9327



9 771007 932045